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our country, the united states of America, employs a peunivir sort of governing system: democracy. Simply bye definition, democrany's goal as a system of me for the people by the peoples implies that The greatest number of people possine showed be invored. Although one media's mission of suppluging pertinent information to the masses follows democratic ideals in definition, the median's impent upon American society, especially in the area of presidential elections, has done lithe to increase putticipution in political prouss and by doing oo, has created a new sort of identity for the president ninsuf.

To begin, the basic assumption of using the media to relang" news" to the people is not a bad one: tuevision has brought widespread "penetration" "geographic distribution", and a "feeling of direct contact" to the proper of America (source A). Expabpeled fanning the distance between two oceans, our country is too large for direct, personal contact between legislators and citizens, and television has moneriach monsanels of people the opportunity to be informed with poelele, national events.

Between 1960 and 1900 , the number of homes watching presidential debates skyrocketed from 28.1 million in 1960 to 45.8 million in 1980 (source D). Basiceung, tuevision has an brought our nation together in mat more people man ever before car be a part of the political prouss if trey 80 desire. Whin this "early promise" (source A) of television does easing align itself with democratic ideals, another important ideal, that of the proper's free choice whetter or whether not to participate, has shown tuevisions uss "promise" ing aspects.

Television initially spurred many. Americans to pay attention. Anyone with a TV cold, in a wary, be on the floor in the U.S. Capitol from his or her seat in thevomfort of the lining rom back at home in Temessee. Unformnateng, The media's portrayal of parifichts quirking blame less than appealing as "even Those aiming bow [easy to understand content] These days are failing, more often man not, to get goodratings" (source F). Networks who thy to be too journalistic snot over the
wads of many viewers, those who search for a "Least vormon denominator" ( $E$ ) become boring to others, and those who try a middle ground remain simply mediocre. Americans' quick disinterest is apparent in debar presidential debate ratings. After only threenationally aired debates ratings began to far from 80.6 million nevers in 1980 to 65.1 million viewers in 1904 . This trend continued through the most recent data, that of the 1996 election, where only 46.1 million viewers over an increased number of networks watched the debates (source D). In a more general sense, Roderick tart and many thieu put it best when then commented, "\$pears of hyperfamivianity Shave] finally bred vortempt for politics itself" (somru B). Rather man increasing public interest in national events, me media has acthaling pushed propel from it making Americans more and more likely to take a complacent rove in their governance.
out of this new, uss implied view
of national politics has come a new sort of leader - the one wo is genuinely concerned with his image within society. For example, president ugndon B. Johnson, one of the first presidents of therised white Arouse affairs, was "a great believer in public opinion polls" (source $E$ ). Throughout history, pis has been for from The case. Tomas Jefferson, one of our nation's most revered former presidents, faced much scouting for his decision to implement the Embargo ACt during his sewndterm. A pr that prided himself on his lack of uremony and close relentionsuip with the people, Jefferson nonumuless Uft the Embargo on effect for several years greentry hurting his reputation. Regardless of his actions unaumingor trade with Britain and Frame, Tomas Jefferson is sion loved by one American people. Modern presidents, however. are more convened with their "image" (source c) within society. More often

Man not, presidents face "a competition for mages or between mages, rather man between ideals" (sone c) in elections today. This mitt in the identity of our nation's vader, far tom a positive one, is almost solar me result of the media's infueme upon society.

An in all, as a nation heraidnor itself as an example of democracy for the rest of the wrond, the united states must follow the definition of democracy, mat is allowing the greatest possible imolvemuen, in order to improve as a nation. Though the media has bonoght the opportunity of involvement to many American households, it has sent many more away and has actuary created a fort of public apathy for me poriticel process. At me same time that citizens stray urey, presichents have become move concerned with the now limited opinions surrounding their office. This meverse relationship of concern is tau from fufining one
forefathers hopes and even farmer from granting me media the title of a positive inruence upon society.

Television has allowed events and people to be move accossable, even if the avelience is hundreds of miles away. This has not necesasanily had a positive impact. Sue the 1960 , Anencan presidential elections and events sourrourdey the elections nave been prodcusted on television. Although this allows for mone of an audiences to be politically active, as a result, images have become almost as important as a person's actions. Through television, an authority Give has the parer to manipulate pubic opinion or change the complete truth to something move suited to their viewpoint. Telansion is dangerous.

It is histoncully evident that during a presidential campaign, a canadrte will discuss a subject that relabes to the audience around him. A cennadate will not go into great detail about retirement fording if he is spates to an aurelvence between the apes of 19 and 30 . Instead, he will discuss a topic that his ardienw has an intrest in. In /mus way, he can gain support from many ages and propr. Thisough teleursion, phis mated Could load to conan about topics ofrer man politics. When
discussing his undencar in a political campaign fo an MTV audience, Bill Clinton was focusing on his mage, not the isives of hand. The members of the MTV avolience. that cold relate to him and voted for him were not voting completely about the iswes curter dealt worth, but hus image.

Donn g the election of 1960 , those who (istered to presidential debates over the radio fest that John $F$ kennedy did colt do as well as hose who watched the debuts on telension fut he did. This evidence shows the "distorting effects of television" (source c) in its emphasis on imerege. By using television as a key in presidutal comparing, a certain percentage of voters are basing freer votes on image and personvity insterd of political issues at fa nd.

Television un also pere used as a form of manipulation. Audiences may net be getting the full story or coverage an an event or issue. The track of information or change in (information cen ster heir upilion. Ted Koppel wrote un 2001 that "preatenfal debate was a "joke," bot "because
we were able to pull the best three or for minutes out of the ninety-munute event, wightline made the whole thing look pretty good" (source $f$ ). Un this scenerig Nighttime changed the debate for its aveliences, who in tum may have changed their minds for or against we cumulate or the other. Through the power of editing, companies con cut and alter frotocege to sway their avelvence one direction wo another One person can also have a profound affect on public opinion If a movie star is seen waring a new blue shirt, millions of fans go out ard buy the same or a similar shirt because frey adnaive and frost the Star. This sconerid can also apply to nous and politics. If an influential person were to declare that he or she disclepreed with one of the conflates, some of their fan units use their opinion when voting. Kia pony the Vietnam war, a well known and trusted pews anchor, walter cronkite declared that he dud not completely agree asith the president's actions overseas. "So if Walter Cronkite magnet that the war was hopeless, the Amencen People would think so too"(govice E).

Walter Crunkie haf is much offfet an tatgolic epinion, that president Johusm deeidos to selcte the remave some hoeps fom kitraum.

Tehivision used is us a pooth by presidential cunadaty, poodvers, and people of infwener to sway parblic opinion. the use of relivision has had a neyatue impact on presidentzal eleetions because it has the ability to unfaing atter opinions.

Presidential elections have evolved greatly from the time of John Quincy Adams and Abe Lincoln. While those presidents had to travel around and physically speak to people around the country modern-doy president like Ronald Reagan and George Gosh have the option of sitting in one room and having thier message brood. casted across the country. This change has influenced presidential elections in a positive way, but it has also made the presidents themselves very vulnerable to the individual welding the camera.

The popular phrase: "what you see is what you get" is rarely true in media. Media program editors and producers can edit broadcasts to fit thier personal preference, taking awry from the truth source F says concerning a presidential debate: "Because we were able to pull the beat three or four minuetses out of the ninety minute event, Nightline made the whole thing look pretty good." This is the perfect example of Media editing. This option was a good thing in this particular case, fort it could also be very detrimental to a candidate's campaign if the editing was lone to make the m look bad. With a growing influence of media, presidential candidates are at the mercy of those who film them. If supporters film them, they will probably look good. but it opponents film theme if could ruin thier chances of winning the
presidency.
Despite this wimpabify, elections have bean positively influenced because of the Dor television has opened to a more informed prelim with television, people from every state land town in the united states can watch the vavias candidates with thieu ouse n eyes. In 1984 alone. 65.1 million people watched the presidential debate. (Source D) 65.( million people is a stagaring
number. If television wasi't around, that nomber would be hugely decreased and therefore electors would be voting on less information Television allows people to get a variety of factors that wall contribute eventually tern int thief Noterthier decision of who uni next lead the united states.
"Because of Telengions sense of intimacy, the American people feel they know their presidents as persons... "(Source B). If you genuinely know a person, you are able to moke better: more accurate judgements of theme. If you know them as a person, not by thier career you are better prepared to decide to trust and support them, or get them rephect it you dort feel they are mIght for the dob.
 By bromadedry events like "nominating conventions, important Whachimat hangs... and other debetes.."(surce A) the Aturean electorate has been able to make na we
educated decisions abut then presidents. Presidents, in tom, have to make a better impression on the people.

In 1968, president lyndon Tonnes Spoke inaccureth of our progress in the vietnam war. Water Comet, a TV newsman got the correct story and reported .t b the nation (Source $E$ ). In this case, TV acted as a check; when the president wide 4 tell the truth sauces else got it correct on television. This will make for o better president in genamali because candidates will know that they should tell the whole tate all the fine.

As the Aravicoun public grows nome used to the media, presidents will have to mete increasingly better impresarios an the poole, and they will have to be better people in order or gain the tarot and support of the nation.

In a technology based society like america, media such as television and radio ave our most valuable means of communication The invention of TV changed the face of the world, and is a source of not only entertaiment but news. Millions of americans get their newstrom the television screen eaen might, and it is also a main source for political news and debating. The use of television in presidential elections should be a positive way of campaigning when used correctly because it allows amenca to know each canidate and allows american atizens to feel move involved in their nation's government.
almost every home in america contains a TV. it is a major part of our lives, a part that has proven to shape the way americans live (campbell). (anger News cameras allow use to first hand experiance breaking news, and allows americans to feel involved and beapocie united. For example,

When the world Trade centers were at lacked america was gilled to its TV. By watching and Ustening, americans from coast to coast felt involved in the tragedy the same goes for politics; TV allows dizens to be involved and have a sense of "direct contact." (campbell) amencans should feel as if they know their leaders, after all, they are the ones Who each day make decisions that effect our futures. Personally as an amencan citizen, 1 like feeding as it 1 really know my president, not just as a formal velationship but rather move as a friend. TV is the way for americans to reach that sense of comfort with their Canidate. (Hart) TV involves an intimacy that cannot be mattered by radio.

Delos Radio has been replaced by TV over the years, because it gives us another view on our presidential (aerocros canidates although radio is a great way to listen to
debates, it does not let us see the way the candidates carny themsers. Image is important to americans, and they more than lively don't want a president who has bad manners and does not carry himself well. If someone is going to be the image of the free world, that image needs to be one that represents america well. (Menand) Televised debates draw millions of viewers per election, because americans want to be involved visually with the candidates. (chant)
although TV in elections is helptul to the voters, it is only affective when used correctly. For example, during the Vietnam war, amencans felt disconnected from the troops. Water cronkite traversed to Wetham to uncover the real story, and when cbs aired his report america was stunned. Their opinions on the war changed, and Johnson knew he would have to end it. (ranney). americans do not deserve to be ned to by their leaders, and if it
wasn't for N who knows how long our sounders would have stayed in Vietnam. This is also an example of TV's power; broad casting companies should always be thuthtul, and not try to sway the pubuc's opinion towards one canadite or another. Ted koppel recalls a debate when he felt the wrong image was convey, "Because we were able to pull tone best three or tour minutes out of the ninety-minute event, Nigntline made the whole thing look pretty good. That's the ultimate irony, "(koppel). aspeically during election times, broad casters should always thy to display the thu e image of eaciocanadidate
overall, the tool of television has changed the face of amencan politics as we know it. It helps bring americans into the action in Washington and allows a citizen to be able to know the personality of the presidential canidadates. although $N$ is a great tool to use
during elections, americans must also be mindful and careful not to persuaded by certain news stations. They should also use other sources of communication to Learn about each canidate before reaching theirtinal decision. If TV is used in a positive and helptul manner, it will bring back the involved government that our nation coeloocesed was based on.

Today, television has the oppertunity to portray someone as something they are not. By the way you hod cyorself and how you speak can have an impact on those watching. Due to televison presidential elections have become more popular than ever. Some people may state that television has had a positive impact, but i dismgreebecause television has shored a person to be shown how an audianee noubl wee to see him.

In sapree C Menand believes that kennedy's victory was due to his image. Most of the viewers thought that kennedy had CRushed Nixon on national broadcast, but through the Radio it came but as a the. Why is that? Menand stated that due to hennedus apperarre, bl portraying a deciner look, ne
was the best concholate. Because kennedy had a fresher image than Nixon he won the debate. not because he said something better, but because of how he toked.

There is no signifigance in knowing what type of underweak that the Presictent wears. source $B$ has found a way to mock the presidential elections through humiliating the candidates. A presictental candidate who reveals the tube of uncterwear they have on gourd not be take seriously. So why is it that linton felt it necessaries to disclose to the public that are wears briefs, not boxers? Be humiliating himseff me fells closet to the public, which will help boost his. image.
television is hot all it is cot out to be. Source F clearu, states that a ninetl-minute ughtine
segment was cut down to three or tour minutes of the best part of the debate
Bul not allowing the audience to view the screewups and only see the best parts the viewers are not getting the full truth of the different candidates. This process can not help either candidate because they a look the lr best. The sources have shown that image, becoming known to the public as a person of rutting segments short can help candidates become cheated for offices. Although it does not host the candidates it portrays a false image. $1+$ is better to get the truth than nothing at cull.

What is the true gage of the intimenu Media has over the public? in this tim period, we see the aftereffects media has foo us on a dally basis; truing to look like Movie stars, determining hols successful we are our tiv. mandan hero's point of view, and living the life of really ty. more than our own. However, the particular media branch, television, has an impact in the political world as well. Presidents are seen more, "as persons," (sombre b) and we are much mare involved in their personal lives Television has leaves on impression with it's viewer and people often judge presidents based on their apperance, or lack thereof on the television. I believe that radio i) infiuencial, that $t x$ can be muddling and the particular information disclosed on tiv is misleading, L believe that tiv. hal negative impact or presidental elections.

First of ally radio was developed many decades before $+\cdot v$, and is known to be a verse influential media segment. Enlil tiv, radio provide the public with information without the ability to edit footage in a way that is misleading to the eyes While audio information can also be milleading, the affects of visuallity are proven to be very high. Radio olla allows presidental candidates to kelp the
political apperance. when tiv. comes into bay, teen stations, such as MTV, tare the oppotimity to Find out information about the indriduals that will be appealing to their jrentomen au audience segment. As good marketers, ty station will make their shows directed at a baticullar group this il apo the former asked president canton wore, "briefs [or] boxers" (sourer B) MTV was hot meaning to be demeaning of president linton, hoverer all the other listeners. Un-intuntionally came acroll information which peledves to say, probably was offensive. Bu doing thill denton unintentionally opened the daws to na my serious issues the allowed himule to be judged by the," celebrity system, "(Source B) and limilary allonge home to be sled at on example of why many tiv. viewers are, "archly conical" (Love B). For instances lice this) alone many peipus become dis-Satilfied for the way presidential candidates are portrayed on tiv. For thess. reasons, HAven radio is a much better medium in the wold of politics.
secondly, tex. con be misleading. when listening to a nexdrow radio, one is bases the opinion solely on the content of the information your reciele However, when watining television, one is mu la more likely to be influenced by looks, poise and non-verbal displays
in the kennedy /Nivon debats, radio listeners, "scored if a draw," (sour CC) while tiv vieques believed," venery had crushed iron." (Source C). As it so happens, invar believed that kennedy wins were because of his, "Image," C source $l$ ) it is shown how hurlleading the television is because of the visuals. Whereas this fought help with pagrams, in a presidentra election, it if much move important for the latent of the speech to be qualified than the condidates image. Becavel 10 many pepped wave discovered the tiv to be a bad medion for politics, the million) of viewers of the debates dropped bu 6 million from 1992 to 1994

Though some might argue that television has made a wider audience interested in the American presidential elections, the addition of television to politics has ultimately been detrimental.

Early presidential candidates had to rely on their opinions, as well as propaganda, to get themselves elected. Voters were, for the most part, focused on the issues at hand. With the lith of televised debates, image soon became part of the equation. Not only did they think about What they were going to say, but also their body language and Jess, making the speed res even more calculated than before. The preplanned aspect of todays debates often leads to fiptoing around questions to get in all of their falling points, subtracting from the genvity of the speakers.

Also, as Americans are bombarded with dally images of pofficions; they begin to see them as detritus, not as the people who could someday control the country. There is an example of His in the infamous "boxers or biffs" question to Bill Clinton, which dearly shows a departure from "issues that actually matter, such as texts or foreign affairs, The wow celerity status of politicians also makes then fair game for tabled
fodder, again seen during Bill Clinton's terms as president. When it was reported that the president had had an affair, the country was in an uproar, forgetting all about real politics. There is substantial evidence suggesting that Clinton was not the first president to have am extramarital affair while in office, but the presence of television made it impossible to hide from the parodic.

By the 1990's the sensational nature of televised debates had worn off, as seen by the dramatic decrease in viewers in debates in 996. This evidence suggests that while television may have initially made people more interested in politics, the effect is wearing off.

Another negative reprecussion of television in politics is the amount of power it gives the media. Thanks to editors, only a few minutes might be shown of a delate lasting aver an Q our, so the network can make one candidate look like a herd, and the other a fool, if they so chooge. When one considers this, it is evident that tu. has dove nothing to bring straightforwardness and honesty bock into politics. There have even been times whir the media's portrayal of certain events have
influenced the presidential administration, as in the case of Walter Cronkite delaying the Uletnam war hopeless. It is a frightening prospect to think about how much pull news anchors have in the ultimate fate of our country.

Television will continue to be a part af presidential elections in America, no matter what we think about its role. We can only hope that there will be a return to the issues, and that the beautyparent aspects of presidential debates will somehour be minimalized

