

Task #3

With the midterm elections right around the corner 34 Senate seats are up for election. This fact has the whole country watching with great anticipation. Most recently the issue of Christine O'Donnell's senatorial election is very heavily debated throughout all political parties. Many think O'Donnell cannot win the Senate seat but with the support of conservatives she certainly can.

This is summary - I think Shorhan it could be

Charles Krauthammer, in his article, "The Buckley Rule," explains the victory of Christine O'Donnell in the Delaware primary. He then goes on to explain about the concept of the Buckley rule. It essentially means that on Election Day, vote for the most conservative candidate that is electable. Krauthammer explains this because he believes that O'Donnell was not the most electable and that her adversary, Mike Castle, was. ~~He says this because he believes that Castle even though his is a RINO and has supported many of Obama's policies, would have surely beaten out the democrat opponent.~~

Mark Hemingway in his article, "Bill Buckley would not vote for Christine O'Donnell" agrees with Krauthammer. Hemingway says that O'Donnell has run a dishonest campaign and that her campaign has posted slanderous videos about Castle online. Hemingway goes on to say that if you think O'Donnell is electable then you must be "drinking the Kool-Aid." He continues saying that at least Castle is a liberal Republican not a democrat. However, this "liberal republicanism" is a cover for those who do not have conservative values at heart. This is not enough for those who want to see a restoration of the government and America they once knew.

evaluate the statement

In opposition to both Krauthammer and Hemingway, Rebecca Hagelin in her article, "Christine O'Donnell Must Be Punished!" believes that O'Donnell can and will win. Hagelin starts off says that O'Donnell's election is an uprising of the people. Hagelin comes out in direct contradiction to Karl Rove and those who do not support O'Donnell and want her to lose. She talks about how O'Donnell is a true American and that yes, she has had her ups and downs, but really who has not? The fact that O'Donnell stays true to the conservative values will be her greatest appeal to voters. Hagelin puts forward enough evidence and encouragement in her article in full support of O'Donnell showing that she can win in November proving people like Krauthammer wrong.

Is there anything she provided that makes her more believable & Krauthammer less believable - facts, observation, tone, concessions? Or do you just disagree to have the same opinion?